IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

I

In re:	0.00 AD 10
JASON M. BRUNO,	8:20-AD-19 ORDER
Respondent.	

This matter is before the Court on the respondent's objection (filing 9) to the Magistrate Judge's findings, recommendation and order (filing 8) recommending that reciprocal discipline be imposed on the respondent. The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record and agrees completely with the Magistrate Judge's finding that the respondent has failed to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed, and her recommendation that reciprocal discipline be imposed. *See* NEGenR 1.8(e).

The Court has also reviewed the additional evidence proffered by the respondent, *see* filing 10, and finds nothing there that affects the Court's conclusion. Accordingly, the Court will grant the respondent's motion to supplement the record (filing 10), but will overrule his objection (filing 9) and adopt the findings and recommendation (filing 8).

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The respondent's motion to supplement the record (filing 10) is granted.
- 2. The respondent's objection (filing 9) is overruled.
- 3. The Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendation (filing8) are adopted.

- 4. The respondent is suspended from practice before this Court effective January 19, 2021, and may not move for reinstatement before July 19, 2021.¹
- 5. The magistrate judge's findings, recommendation and order (filing 8) and this order shall be published on the Court's website. See NEGenR 1.8(a)(3).

Dated this 11th day of January, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

hn M. Gerrard

 $\rlap/ 2$ hief United States District Judge

¹ Arizona officials imposed a 6-month suspension, but this Court's rules provide that "[a]n attorney suspended for more than 3 months or disbarred may not resume practice until a judge orders the attorney reinstated." NEGenR 1.8(g)(6)(A).